

Pamphlet No. 20
T.M. Wixted & Co. (Established 1929)
First Issue: Public Forum,
15th October 1965.
BRISBANE, QUEENSLAND. AUSTRALIA.

THE ESTABLISHMENT v THE PEOPLE
The Conspiracy Of Silence
YOUR RIGHT TO A CHOICE

It is your right to be able to make a choice for yourself.

The suppression, or withholding, by Australian news and information services, of information to which you are entitled, has taken this right from you. The facts concerned are well known to many thousands of Brisbane people who have attended the Brisbane Public Forum during the past 3½ years.

DOCUMENTATION

The statements in this pamphlet can be substantiated. Every point is fully documented.

THE ISSUES

There are 2 issues:

1. Church scholars have deliberately, and for a long period, misrepresented Jesus of Nazareth. At the same time they pretend to be championing his cause.
2. The detailed accusations against the church scholars are known to a number of leading newspapermen in Australia. These newspapermen have maintained a prolonged silence. The Australian public is entitled to the information that they are withholding.

THE TRADITIONAL PRESENTATION OF JESUS

All the churches and sects of Christendom are united in presenting Jesus as a man who was normal only in certain respects. They proclaim that Jesus was born of a virgin, that he was conceived without original sin and so on.

The scholars know that the original manuscripts from which our Bible is derived say nothing whatever about Jesus being born of a virgin. They know that the manuscripts say that Jesus was a normal man in every respect. They know that God chose this man from among his fellows and Anointed for an important mission.

It was a sore point with the establishment in Jerusalem that Jesus was not one of the select communities of scholars. It has been a sore point with scholars ever since. They have managed, nevertheless, to take Jesus away from the common people and make him their own special and private property. This has been accomplished by the suppression and misrepresentation of crucial facts, some of which we shall now discuss.

SPECIFIC ACCUSATIONS

CHRIST:

Many people think that *Christ* is the surname of Jesus. The word is actually a title. Scholars know that there are many christs in the Bible. The word is left untranslated only where it refers to Jesus. Similarly, the term *messiah* - which is simply the Hebrew equivalent of the Greek word *christ* - is left untranslated. Both these words mean *anointed*.

Cyrus the Mede was a *christ* or *messiah*.

VIRGIN BIRTH:

Church scholars have assiduously cultivated the impression that the manuscripts on which the New Testament is based say that Jesus was born of a *virgin*. The manuscripts say no such thing. The doctrine is a classic case of misrepresentation. Here are some of the facts which theologians are now forced to admit:

1. No Hebrew prophet predicted a virgin birth.
2. None of the contemporaries of Jesus had heard of virgin birth.
3. Only two writers in the New Testament refer to the birth of Jesus, and of these:

Matthew, for a compelling reason, which has nothing to do with virgin birth, said Jesus was *not* the son of Joseph.

Luke recorded that Jesus *was* the physical son of Heli.

According to the manuscripts, Luke made clear that Mary belonged to the tribe of Levi. This fact **rules out any possibility** that Luke intended his account to be read as a record of virgin birth. Theologians are well aware of this. They hope no one else will notice.

Two genealogies of Jesus are recorded. There is no conflict between the two. One gives the supposed descent, the other the real descent of Jesus from Heli and Mary. It is only the introduction of the doctrine of virgin birth that brings about the alleged conflict between the genealogies.

Ponder for a moment why Matthew and Luke bothered to give these genealogies if all they intended to do was record a virgin birth.

The term *Son of God* refers to ethical sonship, not biological sonship.

SIGNIFICANCE

Ever since Ignatius introduced the idea that Jesus was born of a virgin - about 110 - 117 CE - the theologians have succeeded in misrepresenting Jesus. Does it strike you as significant that the duplicity of these men should now be revealed after this long period of 1850 years?

THE ROLE OF THE MESSIAH

The role of the *messiah* spoken of by the prophets is not one of grandeur but of humiliation. The prophets spoke in terms of sickness, humiliation, denial of justice, and death.

This is hardly the kind of role a man would choose for himself.

THE REAPPEARANCE OF THE ANOINTED MAN

It has often been said if Jesus reappeared he would be put away in an institution.

This is, of course, exactly what happened. Jesus did reappear. And he was locked up in an institution.

The year was 1929. The institution was Goodna *Mental Hospital*. The world's real attitude to Christian standards is symbolised by the name of the hospital in which he was incarcerated.

Goodna is an aboriginal word. It means: **Human dung**.

The incidents concerned with his incarceration are documented.

SILENCE IS GOLDEN

More than 2000 Australian clergy received an Open Letter, which challenged them concerning the doctrine of Virgin Birth and listed some of their misrepresentations.

Australian news services (mainly newspapers) have been kept abreast of developments by means of registered letters.

But despite all this – Silence reigns!